arXiv:1510.03983v1 [math.NT] 14 Oct 2015

Piecewise constructions of inverses of cyclotomic mapping permutations[☆]

Yanbin Zheng, Yuyin Yu, Dingyi Pei*

School of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China

Abstract

Given a permutation polynomial $f(x)$ of a small finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, the inverse $f^{-1}(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ could be determined by using the Lagrange Interpolation Formula. But for a large q, finding the explicit expression of $f^{-1}(x)$ is usually a hard problem. A piecewise interpolation formula for the inverses of permutation polynomials of arbitrary finite fields is introduced, which extends the Lagrange Interpolation Formula. The explicit inverses of cyclotomic mapping permutations are constructed to demonstrate the formula. The explicit inverses of permutation polynomials of the form $x^r h(x^{(q-1)/d})$ are presented.

Keywords: Permutation, Inverse, Piecewise function, Interpolation formula

2010 MSC: 11T06, 11T71

1. Introduction

For q a prime power, let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field containing q elements, and $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ the ring of polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$. A polynomial $f(x)\in\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is called a permutation polynomial (PP) of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if it induces a bijection of $\mathbb{F}_q$. We define a polynomial $f^{-1}(x)$ as the inverse of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ if $f^{-1}(f(c))=c$ for all $c\in\mathbb{F}_q$, or equivalently $f^{-1}(f(x))\equiv x \pmod{x^q-x}$. Given a PP $f(x)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$, its inverse is unique in the sense of the reduction modulo x^q-x. In theory one could use the Lagrange Interpolation Formula to determine the inverse, i.e.,

$$f^{-1}(x)=\sum_{c\in\mathbb{F}_q} c\left(1-(x-f(c))^{q-1}\right).$$

This is a point-by-point interpolation method for constructing the inverse of a given PP, and it is very inefficient for a large q. In fact, finding the inverse of a PP of a large finite

[☆]This work was supported by the NSF of China (Grant Nos. 11371106, 61502113) and the Guangdong Provincial NSF (Grant No. 2015A030310174).

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: zhengyanbin16@126.com (Yanbin Zheng), yuyuyin@163.com (Yuyin Yu), gztcdpei@scut.edu.cn (Dingyi Pei)

fields is a hard problem except for the well-known classes such as the inverses of linear polynomials, monomials, and some Dickson polynomials. There are only several papers on the inverses of some special classes of PPs, see [8, 13] for the inverse of PPs of the form $x^r h(x^{(q-1)/d})$, [15, 16] for the inverse of linearized PPs, [3, 17] for the inverses of two classes of bilinear PPs, [12] for the inverses of more general classes of PPs.

The basic idea of piecewise constructions of PPs is to partition a finite field into subsets and to study the permutation property through their behavior on the subsets. Although the idea is not new [2, 9], it is still currently being used to find new PPs [1, 4–6, 14, 18, 19]. In our recent work [20], the piecewise idea is employed to construct the inverse of a large class of PPs. In Section 2 of this paper, a piecewise interpolation formula for the inverses of arbitrary PPs of finite fields is worked out, which generalizes the Lagrange Interpolation Formula and the result in [20]. In Section 3, we demonstrate our method by constructing the inverses of cyclotomic mapping permutations. Section 4 gives explicit inverses of special cyclotomic mapping permutations, such as the inverse of $x^r h(x^{(q-1)/d})$. Section 5 concludes this paper.

2. Piecewise constructions of PPs and their inverses

The idea of piecewise constructions of PPs was summarized in [1] by Cao, Hu and Zha, which can also be applied to construct PPs over finite rings. For later convenience, the following lemma expresses it in terms of finite fields.

Lemma 2.1. *(See [1, Proposition 3].) Let $D_1, \ldots, D_m$ be a partition of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and $f_1(x)$, $\ldots$, $f_m(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$. Define*

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(x)\, I_{D_i}(x),$$

where $I_{D_i}(x)$ is the characteristic function of D_i, i.e., $I_{D_i}(x) = 1$ if $x \in D_i$ and $I_{D_i}(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if

(*i*) *f_i is injective on D_i for each $1 \le i \le m$; and*

(*ii*) *$f_i(D_i) \cap f_j(D_j) = \emptyset$ for all $1 \le i \ne j \le m$.*

In Lemma 2.1, $f(x)$ is divided into m piece functions $f_1(x), \ldots, f_m(x)$, namely $f(x) = f_i(x)$ for $x \in D_i$. Hence $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $f_1(D_1), \ldots, f_m(D_m)$ is a partition

of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Inspired by the lemma above, we present the following piecewise interpolation method for constructing inverses of all PPs of finite fields.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $D_1, \ldots, D_m$ be a partition of $\mathbb{F}_q$, $f_1(x), \ldots, f_m(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, and*

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(x)\, I_{D_i}(x).$$

If $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$, then the inverse of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is given by

$$f^{-1}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{f}_i(x)\, I_{f_i(D_i)}(x), \tag{1}$$

where $\bar{f}_i(f_i(c)) = c$ for any $c \in D_i$, and $I_{f_i(D_i)}(x)$ is the characteristic function of $f_i(D_i)$.

Proof. For any $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we assume that $c \in D_i$ for some $1 \le i \le m$, then $I_{D_i}(c) = 1$ and $I_{D_j}(c) = 0$ for $j \ne i$. Hence $f(c) = f_i(c) \in f_i(D_i)$, $I_{f_i(D_i)}(f_i(c)) = 1$ and $I_{f_j(D_j)}(f_i(c)) = 0$ for $j \ne i$. Therefore $f^{-1}(f(c)) = \bar{f}_i(f_i(c)) = c$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.2 gives a piecewise interpolation formula for the inverse of any PP $f(x)$; the inverse of $f(x)$ is composed of the inverses of piece functions $f_i(x)$ when restricted to D_i and the characteristic functions of $f_i(D_i)$. When $m = q$, i.e., every D_i has only one element, the formula (1) is reduced to the Lagrange Interpolation Formula. Therefore it is inefficient for a large m. When m is small and $\bar{f}_i(x)$ and $I_{f_i(D_i)}(x)$ are given, the formula (1) is very efficient no matter how large q is.

For general $f_i(x)$ and D_i, it is difficult to find $\bar{f}_i(x)$ and $I_{f_i(D_i)}(x)$. But it is easy for some special cases. For instance, in our previous work [20] we assume that every $f_i(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and its inverse $\bar{f}_i(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is known, we proof that $I_{f_i(D_i)}(x) = I_{D_i}(\bar{f}_i(x))$. In this paper we remove the restriction that piece functions $f_i(x)$ are all PPs of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and illustrate Lemma 2.2 by constructing explicit inverses of cyclotomic mapping permutations.

3. Inverses of cyclotomic mapping permutations

Let ξ be a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and $q - 1 = ds$ for some positive integers d and s. Let the set of all s-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}_q$ be

$$D_0 = \{\xi^{kd} \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, s-1\}.$$

Then D_0 is a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$, where $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ is the multiplication group of all nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_q$. The elements of the factor group $\mathbb{F}_q^*/D_0$ are the cyclotomic cosets

$$D_i = \xi^i D_0 = \{\xi^{kd+i} \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, s-1\}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1. \tag{2}$$

Note that the cyclotomic cosets form a partition of $\mathbb{F}_q^*$. For $a_0, \ldots, a_{d-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $r_0, \ldots, r_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, a cyclotomic mapping is defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x = 0, \\ a_i x^{r_i} & \text{for } x \in D_i,\ i = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

If $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$, then it is called a cyclotomic mapping permutation of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Cyclotomic mapping permutations were introduced in [10] when $r_0 = \cdots = r_{d-1} = 1$, and were generalized in [14] allowing each branch to take a different r_i. Further information can be found in Section 8.1.5 of the handbook of finite fields [7].

Let $\omega = \xi^s$. Then $f(x)$ in (3) can be uniquely represented as the following form:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^{r_i} \left[1 + (x^s/\omega^i) + (x^s/\omega^i)^2 + \cdots + (x^s/\omega^i)^{d-1}\right], \tag{4}$$

in the sense of the reduction modulo $x^q - x$. In fact, if $x \in D_i$ then $x^s = \omega^i$. Hence

$$1 + (x^s/\omega^i) + (x^s/\omega^i)^2 + \cdots + (x^s/\omega^i)^{d-1} = d.$$

If $x \in D_j$, $j \neq i$, then $x^s = \omega^j$, and so

$$1 + (x^s/\omega^i) + (x^s/\omega^i)^2 + \cdots + (x^s/\omega^i)^{d-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \omega^{(j-i)k} = 0.$$

Therefore (3) is equivalent to (4). Theorem 2.2 in [14] gives the necessary and sufficient conditions for $f(x)$ in (4) to be a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$. In this section we will construct the inverse of $f(x)$ by employing the piecewise interpolation formula in Lemma 2.2. First, the following lemmas are needed.

Lemma 3.1. *(See [20, Lemma 2.2].) Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $q - 1 = ds$, where d, $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then*

$$1 - (x^s - a^s)^{q-1} \equiv \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left(\frac{x^s}{a^s}\right)^j \pmod{x^q - x}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let a and n be integers. Then*

$$\#\{ax \mod n \mid x = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} = n/\gcd(a, n).$$

Proof. Let $n \geq 0$ and $\gcd(a,n) = d$. Since $a(n/d+n) = (a/d)n + an \equiv an \pmod{n}$,

$$\{ax \mod n \mid x = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} = \{ax \mod n \mid x = 0, 1, \ldots, (n/d)-1\}.$$

Let $0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 < n/d$. If $ax_1 \equiv ax_2 \pmod{n}$, then $n \mid a(x_2 - x_1)$. Hence $\frac{n}{d} \mid \frac{a}{d}(x_2 - x_1)$. As $\gcd(\frac{n}{d}, \frac{a}{d}) = 1$, we have $\frac{n}{d} \mid x_2 - x_1$, so $x_2 = x_1$. This completes the proof. □

Now we give the inverses of cyclotomic mapping permutations.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $q-1 = ds$ and $d, s, r_0, \ldots, r_{d-1}$ be positive integers. Let $a_0, \ldots, a_{d-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $\omega = \xi^s$, where ξ a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then*

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} a_i \omega^{-ij} x^{r_i + js}$$

is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $\gcd(\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} r_i, s) = 1$ and $a_i^s \omega^{ir_i} \neq a_j^s \omega^{jr_j}$ for $0 \leq i \neq j \leq d-1$ ([14, Theorem 2.2]). In this case the inverse of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is given by

$$f^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \omega^{-i(t_i + jr_i)} (x/a_i)^{r_i' + js},$$

where r_i', $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $1 \leq r_i' < s$ and $r_i r_i' - st_i = 1$.

Proof. (i) First we use the piecewise method to prove the necessary and sufficient condition for $f(x)$ to be a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let D_i be defined by (2) and $f_i(x) = a_i x^{r_i}$. Then

$$f_i(D_i) = \{a_i \xi^{(kd+i)r_i} \mid k \in [s]\} = \{a_i \xi^{(kr_i)d + ir_i} \mid k \in [s]\}, \tag{5}$$

where $[s] = \{0, 1, \ldots, s-1\}$. It follows from $q-1 = ds$ that $f_i(x)$ is injective on D_i if and only if $\{kr_i \mid k \in [s]\}$ is a complete residue system modulo s. According to Lemma 3.2, the later is equivalent to $\gcd(r_i, s) = 1$. Note that $f(x) = f_i(x)$ for $x \in D_i$. Hence every $f_i(x)$ is injective on D_i if $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and so $\gcd(\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} r_i, s) = 1$.

Let $\gcd(\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} r_i, s) = 1$. We next show that $f_i(D_i) \cap f_j(D_j) = \emptyset$ if and only if $a_i^s \omega^{ir_i} \neq a_j^s \omega^{jr_j}$ for $0 \leq i \neq j \leq d-1$. In fact, according to $\gcd(r_i r_j, s) = 1$ and (5), we have

$$f_i(D_i) = \{a_i \xi^{kd + ir_i} \mid k \in [s]\} \quad \text{and} \quad f_j(D_j) = \{a_j \xi^{kd + jr_j} \mid k \in [s]\}. \tag{6}$$

If $f_i(D_i) \cap f_j(D_j) \neq \emptyset$, there exist $k_i, k_j \in [s]$ such that $a_i \xi^{k_i d + ir_i} = a_j \xi^{k_j d + jr_j}$. Taking s-th power on both sides leads to $a_i^s \omega^{ir_i} = a_j^s \omega^{jr_j}$. On the contrary, if $a_i^s \omega^{ir_i} = a_j^s \omega^{jr_j}$, then $(a_i \xi^{ir_i} / a_j \xi^{jr_j})^s = 1$. Hence there exists $k \in [s]$ such that $a_i \xi^{ir_i} / a_j \xi^{jr_j} = \xi^{kd}$, i.e., $a_i \xi^{ir_i} = a_j \xi^{kd + jr_j}$. Therefore $f_i(D_i) \cap f_j(D_j) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) If $f(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_q$, then $\gcd(\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} r_i, s) = 1$. There exist r_i', $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 \le r_i' < s$ and $r_i r_i' - s t_i = 1$. Next we prove that the inverse of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is

$$f^{-1}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \omega^{-it_i} (x/a_i)^{r_i'} \left[1 - (x^s - a_i^s \omega^{ir_i})^{q-1}\right]. \tag{7}$$

First we show $\bar{f}_i(f_i(c)) = c$ for $c \in D_i$, where $\bar{f}_i(x) = \omega^{-it_i}(x/a_i)^{r_i'}$. For $c = \xi^{kd+i} \in D_i$,

$$\bar{f}_i(f_i(c)) = \omega^{-it_i}(a_i \xi^{(kd+i)r_i}/a_i)^{r_i'} = \frac{\xi^{(kd+i)r_i r_i'}}{\omega^{it_i}} = \frac{\xi^{(kd+i)(st_i+1)}}{(\xi^s)^{it_i}} = \xi^{kd+i} = c.$$

Second, we show that the characteristic function of $f_i(D_i)$ is

$$I_{f_i(D_i)}(x) = 1 - (x^s - a_i^s \omega^{ir_i})^{q-1}.$$

By (6), if $x = a_i \xi^{kd+ir_i} \in f_i(D_i)$, then $x^s = a_i^s \omega^{ir_i}$. Thus $I_{f_i(D_i)}(x) = 1$. Since $f(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_q$, we have $a_i^s \omega^{ir_i} \ne a_j^s \omega^{jr_j}$ for $i \ne j$. For $x \in f_j(D_j)$, $x^s = a_j^s \omega^{jr_j}$ and so

$$I_{f_i(D_i)}(x) = 1 - (a_j^s \omega^{jr_j} - a_i^s \omega^{ir_i})^{q-1} = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

According to Lemma 2.2, $f^{-1}(x)$ is the inverse of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

(iii) Finally, we change the form of $f^{-1}(x)$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$1 - \left(x^s - a_i^s \omega^{ir_i}\right)^{q-1} \equiv \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} (x^s / a_i^s \omega^{ir_i})^j \pmod{x^q - x}.$$

Since $x^{r_i' + ds} \equiv x^{r_i'} \pmod{x^q - x}$, we obtain, by (7),

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(x) &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \omega^{-it_i} (x/a_i)^{r_i'} \sum_{j=1}^{d} (x^s / a_i^s \omega^{ir_i})^j \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \omega^{-it_i} (x/a_i)^{r_i'} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} (x^s / a_i^s \omega^{ir_i})^j \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \omega^{-i(t_i + jr_i)} (x/a_i)^{r_i' + js} \pmod{x^q - x}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 1. *Let $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. Then there exists unique integers a', u such that $1 \le a' < n$ and $aa' - nu = 1$. Usually a' is called the inverse of a modulo n.*

Remark 2. *Because every $a_i^s \omega^{ir_i}$ is a power of ω, the condition $a_i^s \omega^{ir_i} \ne a_j^s \omega^{jr_j}$ for $0 \le i \ne j \le d-1$ is equivalent to $\{a_0^s, a_1^s \omega^{r_1}, \ldots, a_{d-1}^s \omega^{(d-1)r_{d-1}}\} = \{1, \omega, \ldots, \omega^{d-1}\}$.*

4. Applications

Applying Theorem 3.3, we obtain the inverse of PP of the form $x^r h(x^{(q-1)/d})$.

Corollary 4.1. *Let d, r, s be positive integers such that $q-1=ds$. For any $h(x)\in\mathbb{F}_q[x]$,*

$$f(x)=x^r h(x^s)$$

is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $\gcd(r,s)=1$ and $x^r h(x)^s$ permutes $\{1,\omega,\omega^2,\ldots,\omega^{d-1}\}$, where $\omega=\xi^s$, ξ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_q$ ([11, Theorem 2.3] and [21, Lemma 2.1]). In this case the inverse of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is given by

$$f^{-1}(x)=\frac{1}{d}\sum_{i=0}^{d-1}\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}\omega^{-i(t+jr)}\big(x/h(\omega^i)\big)^{r'+js},$$

where r', $t\in\mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $1\le r'<s$ and $rr'-st=1$.

Proof. Let D_i be defined by (2). Then $x^s=\omega^i$ for $x\in D_i$, and so $f(x)=x^r h(\omega^i)$. The proof can be completed by substituting $h(\omega^i)$ and r for a_i and r_i in Theorem 3.3. □

The inverse polynomial $f^{-1}(x)$ in Corollary 4.1 is equivalent to the one in Theorem 2.1 of [13]. However, our result is more explicit than that in [13].

According to Theorem 3.3, if $f(x)$ permutes $\mathbb{F}_q$ then $f^{-1}(x)$ has at most d^2 terms. When d is not very large, Theorem 3.3 gives an efficient method to find $f^{-1}(x)$. If $d=2$ then we arrive at the following result.

Corollary 4.2. *For q an odd prime power, let $s=(q-1)/2$, $a_0,a_1\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, $r_0,r_1\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ and*

$$f(x)=\tfrac{1}{2}a_0x^{r_0}(1+x^s)+\tfrac{1}{2}a_1x^{r_1}(1-x^s).$$

Then $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $\gcd(r_0r_1,s)=1$ and $(a_0a_1)^s=(-1)^{r_1+1}$. In this case the inverse of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is given by

$$f^{-1}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{x}{a_0}\Big)^{r_0'}\Big(1+\Big(\frac{x}{a_0}\Big)^s\Big)+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{t_1}\Big(\frac{x}{a_1}\Big)^{r_1'}\Big(1+(-1)^{r_1}\Big(\frac{x}{a_1}\Big)^s\Big),$$

where r_i', $t_i\in\mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $1\le r_i'<s$ and $r_ir_i'-st_i=1$.

Corollary 4.2 gives the inverse of PPs in Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4 of [14]. Applying Corollary 4.2 to $a_0=a_1=1$, we obtain the next result.

Corollary 4.3. *For q an odd prime power, let $s=(q-1)/2$, $r_0, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and*

$$f(x)=\tfrac{1}{2}x^{r_0}(1+x^s)+\tfrac{1}{2}x^{r_1}(1-x^s).$$

Then $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $\gcd(r_0 r_1, s)=1$ and r_1 is odd. In this case the inverse of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is given by

$$f^{-1}(x)=\tfrac{1}{2}x^{r_0'}(1+x^s)+\tfrac{1}{2}(-1)^{t_1}x^{r_1'}(1-x^s),$$

where r_i', $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $1 \le r_i' < s$ and $r_i r_i' - s t_i = 1$.

Next we propose a class of PP such that $f^{-1}(x)=f(x)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let q be an odd prime power and $s=(q-1)/2$. Let r_0, $r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $1 \le r_0 < s$, $1 \le r_1 < 2s$, $s \mid r_0^2-1$ and $2s \mid r_1^2-1$. Then*

$$f(x)=\tfrac{1}{2}x^{r_0}(1+x^s)+\tfrac{1}{2}x^{r_1}(1-x^s)$$

is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $f^{-1}(x)=f(x)$.

Proof. Because $s \mid r_0^2-1$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_0^2 - s t_0 = 1$. Hence $\gcd(r_0, s)=1$ and $r_0'=r_0$. Similarly, there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $r_1^2 - 2ms = 1$. Therefore r_1 is odd and $\gcd(r_1, s)=1$. Consequently, $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$.

According to Corollary 4.3, to proof the theorem it suffices to show that

$$(-1)^{t_1}x^{r_1'}(1-x^s)=x^{r_1}(1-x^s). \tag{8}$$

Since $r_1^2-2ms=1$, we have $r_1'=r_1$ if $1 \le r_1 < s$. Hence $t_1=2m$ and $(-1)^{t_1}x^{r_1'}=x^{r_1}$. If $s \le r_1 < 2s$ then $0 \le r_1 - s < s$. Since $r_1(r_1-s)-(2m-r_1)s=1$, we get $r_1'=r_1-s$ and $t_1=2m-r_1$. The formula (8) holds, since $(-1)^{t_1}=(-1)^{2m-r_1}=-1$ and

$$x^{r_1'}(1-x^s)=x^{r_1-s}(1-x^s)=x^{r_1-s}-x^{r_1}=x^{r_1+s}-x^{r_1}=-x^{r_1}(1-x^s). \qquad \square$$

In a symmetric cryptosystem, the decryption function $f^{-1}(x)$ is usually the same as the encryption function $f(x)$. Hence the PP in Theorem 4.4 would be potentially useful in symmetric cryptosystems. The next Theorem consider the case that $d \ge 3$, $a_1=\cdots=a_d$ and $r_1=\cdots=r_d$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $q-1=ds$, $d \ge 3$, $s, r_0, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and*

$$f(x)=(1/d)(a_0x^{r_0}-a_1x^{r_1})(1+x^s+\cdots+x^{(d-1)s})+a_1x^{r_1}.$$

Then $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if $\gcd(r_0r_1, s) = \gcd(r_1, d) = 1$ and $a_0^s = a_1^s$. In this case the inverse of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is given by

$$f^{-1}(x)=(1/d)\left[(x/a_0)^{r_0'}-(x/a_1)^{r_1'}\right]\left[1+(x/a_1)^s+\cdots+(x/a_1)^{(d-1)s}\right]+(x/a_1)^{r_1'+us}.$$

where r_i' is the inverse of r_i modulo s, $u \equiv \widetilde{r_1}(1-r_1r_1')/s \pmod d$, $0 \le u < d$, and $\widetilde{r_1}$ is the inverse of r_1 modulo d.

Proof. Let D_i be defined by (2) and $\omega = \xi^s$. Then $x^s = \omega^i$ for $x \in D_i$. Since

$$1+\omega^i+\cdots+\omega^{(d-1)i} = \begin{cases} d & \text{for } i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

we have

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a_0x^{r_0} & \text{for } x \in D_0, \\ a_1x^{r_1} & \text{for } x \in D_j,\ j \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

(i) According to Theorem 3.3 and Remark 2, $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if

$$\gcd(r_0r_1, s) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \{a_0^s,\, a_1^s\omega^{r_1}, \ldots, a_1^s\omega^{(d-1)r_1}\} = \{1,\, \omega, \ldots, \omega^{d-1}\}.$$

Because a_1^s is a power of ω, the above formula is equivalent to

$$\{a_0^s/a_1^s,\, \omega^{r_1}, \ldots, \omega^{(d-1)r_1}\} = \{1,\, \omega, \ldots, \omega^{d-1}\}. \tag{9}$$

Next we show that (9) is equivalent to $\gcd(r_1, d) = 1$ and $a_0^s/a_1^s = 1$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$m := \#\{r_1x \mod d \,|\, x = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1\} = d/\gcd(r_1, d).$$

($\Rightarrow$) Assume (9) is true and $\gcd(r_1, d) = e \ge 2$. As $d \ge 3$, we get $m = d/e \le d/2 < d-1$, i.e., $m \le d-2$. Since ω is a d-order element, the left set of (9) has at most $d-1$ elements, which contradicts (9). Hence, if (9) holds then $\gcd(r_1, d) = 1$, and so

$$\{\omega^{r_1}, \ldots, \omega^{(d-1)r_1}\} = \{\omega, \ldots, \omega^{d-1}\}. \tag{10}$$

By (9), we have $a_0^s/a_1^s = 1$. ($\Leftarrow$) If $\gcd(r_1, d) = 1$, then (10) is true. Also note that $a_0^s/a_1^s = 1$. Thus (9) holds.

(ii) If $f(x)$ is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_q$, then $\gcd(r_0r_1, s) = \gcd(r_1, d) = 1$ and $a_0^s = a_1^s$. Let r_i', $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $1 \le r_i' < s$ and $r_ir_i' - st_i = 1$, where $i = 0, 1$. According to Theorem 3.3,

$$f^{-1}(x) = (1/d)\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(x/a_0)^{r_0'+js} + (1/d)\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}\omega^{-i(t_1+jr_1)}(x/a_1)^{r_1'+js}.$$

Let $u \equiv \widetilde{r_1}(1-r_1r_1')/s \pmod d$ and $0 \le u < d$, where $\widetilde{r_1}$ is the inverse of r_1 modulo d, i.e., $1 \le \widetilde{r_1} < s$ and $r_1\widetilde{r_1} \equiv 1 \pmod d$. Then

$$ur_1 \equiv r_1\widetilde{r_1}(1-r_1r_1')/s \equiv (1-r_1r_1')/s \equiv (-st_1)/s \equiv -t_1 \pmod d.$$

Therefore $t_1 + ur_1 \equiv 0 \pmod d$, and so, for $0 \le j < d$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \omega^{-i(t_1+jr_1)} = \begin{cases} d-1 & \text{for } j = u, \\ -1 & \text{for } j \ne u. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \omega^{-i(t_1+jr_1)}(x/a_1)^{r_1'+js} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \omega^{-i(t_1+jr_1)}\right)(x/a_1)^{r_1'+js}$$
$$= (d-1)(x/a_1)^{r_1'+us} - \sum_{j\ne u}(x/a_1)^{r_1'+js} = d\,(x/a_1)^{r_1'+us} - \sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(x/a_1)^{r_1'+js}.$$

Also note that $a_0^s = a_1^s$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(x) &= (1/d)\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(x/a_0)^{r_0'+js} - (1/d)\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(x/a_1)^{r_1'+js} + (x/a_1)^{r_1'+us} \\ &= (1/d)\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}[(x/a_0)^{r_0'+js} - (x/a_1)^{r_1'+js}] + (x/a_1)^{r_1'+us} \\ &= (1/d)\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}[(x/a_0)^{r_0'}(x/a_1)^{js} - (x/a_1)^{r_1'+js}] + (x/a_1)^{r_1'+us} \\ &= (1/d)[(x/a_0)^{r_0'} - (x/a_1)^{r_1'}]\textstyle\sum_{j=0}^{d-1}(x/a_1)^{js} + (x/a_1)^{r_1'+us}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.5 generalizes Proposition 2.11 in [14], which requires that d is a prime divisor of $q-1$. Moreover, Proposition 2.11 is incorrect for $d=2$, and the expression $d-1$ should be $1-d$.

Applying Theorem 4.5 to $q = 2^{2n}$, $d = 3$ and $a_0 = a_1 = 1$, we get the next result.

Corollary 4.6. *Let n, i, j be positive integers and $s = (2^{2n}-1)/3$. Then*

$$f(x) = \left(x^{2^i} + x^{2^j}\right)\left(1 + x^s + x^{2s}\right) + x^{2^j}$$

is a PP of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2n}}$ ([14, Corollary 2.7]), and the inverse of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2n}}$ is given by

$$f^{-1}(x) = \left(x^{2^{\widetilde{i}}} + x^{2^{\widetilde{j}}}\right)\left(1 + x^s + x^{2s}\right) + x^{2^{\widetilde{j}}+us},$$

where $2^{\widetilde{k}}$ is the inverse of 2^k modulo s and $u \equiv (-1)^j\left(1 - 2^j 2^{\widetilde{j}}\right)/s \pmod 3$.

5. Conclusion

We propose a piecewise interpolation formula for the inverse of any permutation polynomial of a finite field. We demonstrate our approach by constructing explicit inverses of cyclotomic mapping permutations. It is expected to generate more concrete permutation polynomials and their inverses by using other forms of piece functions and partitions of finite fields. Permutation polynomials and their inverses have potential applications in coding theory, cryptography, and other areas of science and engineering.

References

References

[1] X. Cao, L. Hu, Z. Zha, Constructing permutation polynomials from piecewise permutations, Finite Fields Appl. 26 (2014) 162–174.

[2] L. Carlitz, Some theorems on permutation polynomials, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1962) 120–122.

[3] R. S. Coulter, M. Henderson, The compositional inverse of a class of permutation polynomials over a finite field, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 65 (2002) 521–526.

[4] N. Fernando, X. Hou, A piecewise construction of permutation polynomial over finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. 18 (2012) 1184–1194.

[5] X. Hou, Two classes of permutation polynomials over finite fields, J. Comb. Theory, (Ser. A) 118 (2011) 448–454.

[6] N. Li, T. Helleseth, X. Tang, Further results on a class of permutation polynomials over finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. 22 (2013) 16–23.

[7] G.L. Mullen, D. Panario, Handbook of Finite Fields, CRC Press, 2013.

[8] A. Muratović-Ribić, A note on the coefficients of inverse polynomials, Finite Fields Appl. 13 (2007) 977–980.

[9] H. Niederreiter, K.H. Robinson, Complete mappings of finite fields, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser. A) 33 (1982) 197–212.

[10] H. Niederreiter, A. Winterhof, Cyclotomic R-orthomorphisms of finite fields, Discrete Math. 295 (2005) 161–171.

[11] Y.H. Park, J.B. Lee, Permutation polynomials and group permutation polynomials, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 63 (2011) 67–74.

[12] A. Tuxanidy, Q. Wang, On the inverses of some classes of permutations of finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. 28 (2014) 244–281.

[13] Q. Wang, On inverse permutation polynomials, Finite Fields Appl. 15 (2009) 207–213.

[14] Q. Wang, Cyclotomy and permutation polynomials of large indices, Finite Fields Appl. 22 (2013) 57–69.

[15] B. Wu, The compositional inverse of a class of linearized permutation polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, n odd, Finite Fields Appl. 29 (2014) 34–48.

[16] B. Wu, Z. Liu, Linearized polynomials over finite fields revisited, Finite Fields Appl. 22 (2013) 79–100.

[17] B. Wu, Z. Liu, The compositional inverse of a class of bilinear permutation polynomials over finite fields of characteristic 2, Finite Fields Appl. 24 (2013) 136–147.

[18] P. Yuan, C. Ding, Further results on permutation polynomials over finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. 27 (2014) 88–103.

[19] Z. Zha, L. Hu, Two classes of permutation polynomials over finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. 18 (2012) 781–790.

[20] Y. Zheng, P. Yuan, D. Pei, Piecewise constructions of inverses of some permutation polynomials, Finite Fields Appl. 36 (2015) 151–169.

[21] M. E. Zieve, Some families of permutation polynomials over finite fields. Int. J. Number Theory 4 (2008) 851–857.